

A

RINES AND RINES
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

DAVID RINES (1884-1978)

ROBERT H. RINES

81 North State Street
Concord, New Hampshire 03301
Tel: (603) 228-0121
Fax: (603) 228-0210
E-Mail: rhr@aas-world.org

CONFERENCE SUITES
65 East India Row
Boston, Massachusetts 02111
Tel: (617) 523-6304
Fax: (617) 973-9956

OF COUNSEL
John R. Allsop
Bledington Grounds
Bledington
Gloucestershire OX7 6XL, UK
Tel: (0608) 659836
Fax: (0608) 659438
EUROPEAN PATENT ATTORNEY



May 21, 1999

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
U S Patent and Trademark Office
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir

RE. New US Patent Application Entitled: **IN NETWORKS OF INTERCONNECTED
ROUTER NODES FOR ROUTING DATA TRAFFIC, A METHOD OF AND
SYSTEM FOR IMPERCEPTIBLY UPGRADING ROUTER NODE SOFTWARE
AND THE LIKE WITHOUT TRAFFIC INTERRUPTION**

Inventors Kenneth J Schroder, Glenn McGuire and Zbigniew Opalka

Assignment to. Nexabit Networks, Inc.

We enclose the above-identified patent application, the filing fee of \$398.00, together with
4 (four) sheets of drawings, and a Verified Statement (small entity status)

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be due, to
the deposit account No. 18-1425 of the undersigned attorney,

Cordially,

RINES AND RINES


Robert H. Rines

RHR/ipo--Enclosures

09346909 May 21 1999

Applicant or Patentee: Nexabit Networks, Inc.

Serial or Patent No.: _____

Filed or Issued: _____

For: _____

Attorney's

Docket No.: _____

IN NETWORKS OF INTERCONNECTED ROUTER NODES FOR ROUTING DATA TRAFFIC, A
METHOD OF AND SYSTEM FOR IMPERCEPTIBLY UPGRADING ROUTER NODE SOFTWARE
AND THE LIKE WITHOUT TRAFFIC INTERRUPTION

VERIFIED STATEMENT (DECLARATION) CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS
(37 CFR 1.9(f) and 1.27(c)) - SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN

I hereby declare that I am

☐ the owner of the small business concern identified below:

☒ an official of the small business concern empowered to act on behalf of the concern identified below:

NAME OF CONCERN Nexabit Networks, Inc.

ADDRESS OF CONCERN 200 Nickerson Road, Marlborough, MA

I hereby declare that the above identified small business concern qualifies as a small business concern as defined in 13 CFR 121.3-18, and reproduced in 37 CFR 1.9(d), for purposes of paying reduced fees under section 41(a) and (b) of Title 35, United States Code, in that the number of employees of the concern, including those of its affiliates, does not exceed 500 persons. For purposes of this statement, (1) the number of employees of the business concern is the average over the previous fiscal year of the concern of the persons employed on a full-time, part-time or temporary basis during each of the pay periods of the fiscal year, and (2) concerns are affiliates of each other when either, directly or indirectly, one concern controls or has the power to control the other, or a third party or parties controls or has the power to control both.

I hereby declare that rights under contract or law have been conveyed to and remain with the small business concern identified above with regard to the invention, entitled

as above by inventor(s)

Kenneth J. Schroder, Glenn McGuire and Zbigniew Opalka

described in

☒ the specification filed herewith

☐ application serial no. _____, filed _____

☐ patent no. _____, issued _____

If the rights held by the above identified small business concern are not exclusive, each individual, concern or organization having rights to the invention is listed below* and no rights to the invention are held by any person, other than the inventor, who could not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9(d) or by any concern which would not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9(d) or a nonprofit organization under 37 CFR 1.9(e). *NOTE: Separate verified statements are required from each named person, concern or organization having rights to the invention averring to their status as small entities. (37 CFR 1.27)

NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

☐ INDIVIDUAL

☐ SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN

☐ NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

☐ INDIVIDUAL

☐ SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN

☐ NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

I acknowledge the duty to file, in this application or patent, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of entitlement to small entity status prior to paying, or at the time of paying, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance fee due after the date on which status as a small entity is no longer appropriate. (37 CFR 1.28(b))

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed.

NAME OF PERSON SIGNING Mukesh Chatter

TITLE OF PERSON OTHER THAN OWNER _____

ADDRESS OF PERSON SIGNING _____

SIGNATURE

Mukesh Chatter

DATE

5/14/99

In Networks Of Interconnected Router Nodes For Routing Data Traffic,
A Method Of And System For Imperceptibly Upgrading Router Node Software
And The Like Without Traffic Interruption

Field

The present invention relates to the routing of data traffic along a network comprised of interconnected successive router nodes, each controlled by its own software information processing tables and management protocol for enabling the data routing to the next router node and along the network routing path; the invention being more particularly directed to the impact upon the data routing of upgrading such software

Background

Under current practice, as in, for example, network routing systems of Cisco and other manufacturers, the upgrading, revising or other changing of the software managing of a router in such a network of interconnected router nodes requires taking the router down, effecting the software upgrade or other change (generally from software memory images), and then restarting, disrupting the routing traffic and interaction with neighboring router nodes. Historically, the problem of network routing reliability has often not been a large issue because of the redundancy of routing paths in the networks, and because of the tolerance of users to a half - minute to several minutes traffic re-routing after a particular router node has been taken out of service for a software upgrade, or has failed. The removal of a router node from the network triggers the reconverging along another path of router nodes. The router is brought down to the level of only configuration management while the software is upgraded or otherwise changed or

varied, and then the router is restarted again and reconverges, enabling traffic along the initial router network path. If no alternative path exists, however, a portion of the network will be disconnected during the update

In environments such as universities and the like, several minutes of disruption for email or the like is tolerable; but if audio or video data is being routed, or interactive interplay is involved, such reconfiguring time presents a deleterious disruption.

Through the novel techniques underlying the present invention, however, a much higher availability router is provided wherein software may be upgraded in the network router path without disrupting or interrupting traffic service therein, and without interruption even if no alternative routing paths are available in the network.

Objects of Invention

It is accordingly the principal object of the present invention to provide a new and improved method of and system for upgrading or otherwise changing or varying router software in networks of interconnected router nodes without suffering the before-described prior art traffic interruption and resulting delays and the like.

Another object is to provide for such upgrades without interruption even if there is no alternative routing path available in the network.

Still a further object is to provide a novel higher availability router system than prior art systems

Other and further objects will be explained hereinafter and are more particularly delineated in the appended claims.

Summary

In summary, however, from one of its viewpoints, the invention embraces in a network data routing system comprised of a plurality of interconnectable router nodes, each controlled by software processing and management information for enabling data routing along a predetermined path of router nodes, a method of revising and upgrading the software information in one of such preselected router nodes along said paths, that comprises, continuing the data routing along said path with original software information controlling said one router node, during such continued routing, preparing new software information at said one node from said original software information and including revisions and upgrades; and, after such preparing of the new software information, swapping the same for the original software information in said one node during the continuing of the data routing along said path without interruption, and imperceptibly to all the other router nodes in the router system.

Preferred and best mode designs and details are later fully described.

Drawings

The invention will now be explained in connection with the accompanying drawings in which Figure 1A is a conventional or traditional prior art schematic network routing system diagram illustrating the interruption of service for software upgrading and reloading;

Figure 1B is a diagram of the contrasting technique of the present invention in preferred form for allowing such software upgrades (old to new software) without

interruption, and even if no alternate network routing path is available -- -- a so-called "hot swap" of software,

Figure 2 is a diagram illustrative of the relationship of key module architectural elements in Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) support embodying well-known routing table data for controlling packet forwarding;

Figure 3 is a diagram explanatory of the "hot - swap" software backplane architecture for a Management Information Base (MIB) containing descriptions of all router configurations, protocols, addressees, etc , and with backplane Command Line Interpreter (CLI), Hyper Text Transfer Protocol (HTTP) and Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) used for remote management of the system,

Figure 4 illustrates suitable software "hot-swap" functional components;

Figure 5 shows the operation of the information processing (IP) handler in passing original to revised BGP,

Figure 6 outlines the binding activity relationships and steps in the upgraded software taking over from and swapping with the original version, and

Figure 7 is a block interconnection diagram of key elements of the system interfacing structure.

Description Of Preferred Embodiment(s) Of Invention

As before mentioned, the upgrading or other changing or varying of the software in any router of the plurality of successively interconnected routers defining the network data traffic path, is effected, in accordance with the present invention, without bringing the router down. Rather, the invention enables creating a swap of upgraded software,

prepared while the original router software continues operation, without interruption of that router operation, and imperceptibly to all the other routers and system.

This, as earlier pointed out, is in distinct contrast to traditional techniques illustrated in Figure 1A, wherein a pair of illustrative network paths of interconnected router nodes are respectively illustrated as the upper path $N_1 - N_2 - N_4 - N_5$ and the lower path $N_1 - N_3 - N_5$ between an input terminal node N_1 and an output terminal mode N_5 . The shortest and most desirable data traffic path is the lower path, where it will be assumed data packet traffic is accordingly routed along T.

When it becomes necessary to upgrade or change the software in router node N_3 , the prior art, as previously noted, generally shuts down N_3 during the upgrading and re-loading of the software, indicated as by the crosses X. This requires the seeking of the alternative longer upper path T^1 ($N_1 - N_2 - N_4 - N_5$) with the indicated interruption and re-route delay. Had there been no alternative path, moreover, the lower portion of the network would be disconnected during the update

In contrast, as shown in Figure 1 (B), in accordance with the present invention, the upgraded software "New" is prepared from the "Old" within the router N_3 while it continues to operate in the lower path T, passing data packets between the terminal router nodes N_1 and N_5 . Once the "New" software is ready, it is swapped for the "Old" during the continued operational routing along path T (hence "hot swap"), and without anything internal or external being disrupted or interrupted, and imperceptibly to all other router nodes in the system. The new software takes over without impacting the delivery of information in the network.

Preferred ways in which this "on-the-fly" or "on-line" preparation of upgraded software and "hot swap" may be implemented, will now be detailed with a description of the software functionality and architecture suitable for "hot-swap" capability, including the functional composition, the generic flow of information through the system that "hot swap" must support, and the functional requirements associated with each hot-swap support component

First, the relationship of key module architectural elements is schematically shown in Figure 2, using an example of the before-mentioned BGP routing table data packet forwarding support on a single router switch. Shown within the BGP service is its IP (Information Processing) interface and tasks and threads that send request messages to other services -- tasks being active executable images that execute the code from a module, and threads being the concurrent lines of execution within a task, wherein activation of a service causes the tasks and threads to be started and the module code to begin executing the task.

The "hot-swap" architecture provides a sort of uniform "software backplane", Figure 3, into which each service is connected, removed and replaced. This is accomplished through the following key interfaces. From left-to-right, three main stacks are shown: arrows representing control and data methods interfaces, so-labeled, the module interfaces M, wherein modules may be a routing application or a management interface to the system; and backplane services B with which the modules all interact and rely upon. The latter is illustrated as containing the before-mentioned Command Line Interpreter

(CLI), Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP), and Hyper Text Transfer Protocol (HTTP) The active module M^1 in the module interface section M provides the active software code for the upgrading. The persistent and shared data interfaces PS capture all the information, such as tables for application uses, databases that are to be retained, information about the current state of the programs, such as open connections or other system state information. These resources captured at PS must be persistent so that they can be passed from one application to another, and shared because sometimes more than one application may access that information. The previously mentioned Management Information Base (MIB) is the control interface to the active module M^1 . The module informs the MIB (arrowed line M'') of the ways in which it can be configured by the configuration manager CM, and the SNMP essentially sends requests (arrowed line S^1) by way of the MIB to the active module M^1 , such as, for example, a request to enable.

Also within the backplane services B is provided a dynamic linker L that allows modules to be linked and then replaced with a link to a new version, and a dynamic binder BT that also allows a task to ask for other tasks by name, and receives a pointer to an interface structure that captures plug-in information; i.e. with a dynamically bound veneer to TCP/IP and message queue based IPC (so-labeled), allowing a new task to take control by the task manager TM of already open connections, and to pick up processing where the previous task left off. Thus, as above stated, the persistence mechanism PS allows state information to be passed from one task to the next, coordinating with the IP and messaging interface so that the point where the new task begins processing the queued requests, corresponds to the point the shared persistent data was check-pointed;

and with the SNMP/MIB descriptors and data persisting between activation of the service.

Figure 3 thus outlines the information of each application that is upgradable. In Figure 4, the persistent data and services themselves comprising the software "hot-swap" functional components, are shown broken out into such components PS, BT, TM, CM, etc. earlier discussed in connection with Figure 3. The operating system is designated Vx Works in Figure 4, and the previously described binding dynamic linker is shown at BT for enabling loading in the code for the active modules for the different applications, and removing such when no longer required. The task manager TM controls the starting and stopping of the application and aspects of the choreography of handing off information from the old service to the new one during the software upgrade. TCP/IP represents the way of communicating with the other router nodes of the network and is part of the XWorks. The configuration manager CM deals with compatibility between different versions, and an upgrade manager UM controls the software modules coming in and out of the system; for example, taking an upgrade off a flash device or an Internet FTP server or from other places, and loading it into the system while ensuring it is all compatible.

In summary, therefore, in addition to the dynamic binding mechanisms, the task manager TM is responsible for activation of each required module, monitoring their availability and stopping a task or restarting the task if it has crashed. The use of module interfaces for the elements of the "hot-swap" services, focusing on the notion of the software backplane, provides a plug-in capability to each module. Such interfaces include the TCP/IP, UDP and task message IPC used to control a module. The interfaces also include the persistent data that must be passed from one activation of a task to the

next in order to avoid any delay or disruption otherwise caused by a cold start of the module. The module interfaces include also the MIB used to represent the objects and information to be published through SNMP agent to a monitoring system. Support for this "backplane" also includes the embedded operating system which provides the usual range of well-known building blocks plus the linker, unloader. Support may also include an additional library to support the dynamic binding among tasks, plus the configuration management services needed to maintain the software; and the network and system management interfaces, as well.

Turning now to the operating system features required to build on, and the changes needed to cause the TCP/IP stack to handle transparent module replacement, a more detailed description is herein provided of the modified TCP/IP stack and the elements required to support data persistence, the interface structure, and the binder and task manager, and finally, further description of the module management components, the configuration manager which allows an operator to control what will run and how the system will behave if new modules fail, and the download manager which oversees the software upgrades.

Reference is first made to Figure 5, illustrating details of the IP handling in passing ownership from the "original" or old software to the "revised" or new upgraded version. As a result of the registration ("1. Register") of IP connections from BGP (original), the IP handler returns a binding ("2. Bind") that gives access to a set of resources, and at some point starting bindings to a set of IP connection resources ("5. Rebind") at the BGP (revised). After a leader time of running, the revised or new upgraded version BGP sends a message to the old software that BGP (revised) is taking

over, causing the BGP (original) to do a checkpoint ("3 Checkpoint"), assuring that it's at a stopping point; and, when it gets the go-ahead from the original, BGP (revised) pre-empts access to the handler resources ("4. Pre-empt"), and connections that were originally owned by the original or old BGP and preserved ("persistent"), are taken over by the new, with the process allowing reliable swapping from the old to the new without disruption in operation or service ("hot-swap") and imperceptibly to everything else in the network

The IP handler bus allows connections, both listener and direct, to be passed from one task to another. Tasks will register with the service by identifying the port wish to listen for and that may include an identifier that will be included at the beginning of each message. When the connection is established, data from the connection will be passed to the appropriate message queue Q. Connect requests received in response to a proxy listen will also be sent to the message queue for accessing control checks the service may wish to perform. The connection can be reassigned when a new module begins to run and registers with the pre-empt option set. This will cause the old binding to be broken and a new one to be created, which may be nothing more than changing the task identifier associated with the binding held by the IP handler, since for proper handling, the entire message queue must be passed from the original task to the new one. Pending messages must be left on the queue for the new task to pick up and process. Before the new task may take the message queue for the old task, however, it may have to synchronize with the original task. This synchronization should ensure that all changes up to the last message process have been checkpointed to the persistent data area. It should also ensure

that the original task does not begin to process additional messages beyond the synchronization point.

This approach is necessary to ensure a clean hand-off to pending work between tasks, since the message queues allow pending requests to be held and then redirected to a new version of the module. This approach is deemed a preferred one because it does not require modifications to TCP/IP stack. Such changes, indeed, would require a custom stack and would reduce the processing delay, buffer copy delay and possible memory fragmentation from buffer handling; but it would eliminate the more complicated problem of checkpoint synchronization with the message stream that must be performed for a clean hand-off between the tasks.

More fully to describe the binding activity relationship and steps, reference is now made to Figure 6 where the old, or original or current running software version is labeled V1.1, and the newer revised or upgraded version is identified at V1.2. Basically, there are two sets of data in the general part of the system: one, the persistent data PS and MIBs, so-labeled, and the other, the task activation table (also so-labeled) that knows what is running in the system. The before-described task manager TM and the binder BT controls the context, with the binder dealing with what programs or versions are loaded into the system and runnable, and the task manager dealing with which particular version is running at a given point in time. It is also monitoring ("Monitor") this hand-off process indicated by the arrowed lines in the upper level of Figure 6, where version V1.1 is sending a signal ("Signal") to get the binding ("Binding"), and the new version V1.2 aborts the original binding ("Abort and Re-bind") and gets access to the resources in the

lower level of the figure -- all hidden from the external network. The previously mentioned use of a binder look-up library is also shown in Figure 6.

Lastly, Figure 7 illustrates how applications communicate and otherwise deal with one another by the novel generic module interface of the invention. Rather than making direct subroutine calls between applications which would be impractical with upgrading, and then going back and rebinding of the subroutine calls, the invention relies upon the sending of a message between the two applications, such that when a reply comes back, it simulates a subroutine call. Queues, so-labeled, are accordingly provided for that function. As previously described and also shown in Figure 7, the MIB and CLI used for configuration are also message queues for the publishing to each application; for example, an MIB object set of a configuration parameters to be set, and the values wanted for setting them. Pointers are provided for owned data to be shared, also so-labeled, and managed and published to other applications. Tables that describe the supported MIB objects and the CLI commands are also provided (lower right of Figure 7). Semaphores provide synchronization capabilities, with at least one of them providing a means for a thread to block while the associated service is an active or unplugged. The semaphore also provides the means for preventing a thread from being deactivated while the interface is in use. The structure, moreover, includes enough information to deal with rebinding to a new instance of the service, perhaps repeating information provided to the original bind. The set of message queues, furthermore, is used to interact with the service, being the mechanisms through which control requests are sent, and the module preferably also provides the library of functions for placing requests on the queues. The binder interface subroutine library (Figure 6) can be called and to freely written in other

tasks, allowing all bind calls to be made initially as part of a task start-up initialization code. As the main task for each upgradable module starts, it registers with the task manager, providing information as to how requests are received and processed, and initial configuration manager entry;

The task information may include:

- Task-specific cut-over handling: drop pending input or accept
- Network management MIBs, including data pointers
- HTML or other interface definition hierarchy to be added to tree
- Command line interface interpreter tables
- Interface structures
- Persistent and shared data pointers

The task manager interface also provides;

- A list of active tasks and module and version that each is running
- Resource used by task (to the extent supported by VxWorks) processor and idle time, memory, I/O activity
- State of the tasks. running, blocked or idle
- Historical information. up-time, MIB-1 information

The task manager may also maintain a watchdog timer of the various properties, and kill the task if the specified threshold is exceeded, for example, if a task is blocked with new requests pending for long period of time

While the invention has been described in connection with the illustrative and important example of a BGP routing protocol, the techniques of the invention can also be applied to other routing protocols, link layer protocols, management applications and, in

general, any application that runs on a router. Examples of routing protocols include interior gateway protocols, multicast protocols, resource reservation protocols. Link layer protocols include Frame Relay and ATM (A synchronous Transfer Mode) configuration, path selection and circuit management protocols. General management applications include Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP), the command line interpreter, Web servers and other user interfaces and their supporting infrastructure within the router. General applications are wide ranging, including remote access protocols like telnet and ftp, information services for host name lookup and time synchronization, or embedded editors for modifying configuration and other files. The technique and portions thereof are useful in other communications systems as well; and further modifications will also occur to those skilled in the art, such being considered to fall within the spirit and scope of the invention as defined in the appended claims

What is claimed is:

1. In a network data routing system comprised of a plurality of interconnectable router nodes, each controlled by software processing and management information for enabling data routing along a predetermined path of router nodes, a method of revising and upgrading the software information in one of such preselected router nodes along said paths, that comprises, continuing the data routing along said path with original software information controlling said one router node; during such continued routing, preparing new software information at said one node from said original software information and including revisions and upgrades; and, after such preparing of the new software information, swapping the same for the original software information in said one node during the continuing of the data routing along said path without interruption, and imperceptibly to all the other router nodes in the router system
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the original software package contains a border gateway protocol (BGP) for controlling data packet routing from said one node along the network, and said preparing of the new software includes registering and binding the original software BGP information in a new software package during continued data packet routing through said one node under the original software BGP.
3. The method of claim 2 wherein said revisions and upgrades are also bound into said new software package

4. The method of claim 3 wherein the original software package is signaled by the new software package when it is prepared to take over the software control of said one node, and thereupon pre-emptively swaps with the original software package in said node without interruption.

5. The method of claim 4 wherein prior to the swap, the original software package checks and prepares for its disconnection at the time the new software package activates its swap connection.

6 A network data routing system having, in combination, a plurality of interconnected router nodes each controlled by software processing and managing information for enabling data routing along a predetermined path of router nodes; apparatus for revising and upgrading the software information in one of the router nodes along said path comprising means operable during the continued data routing along said path, for preparing new software information at said one node from said original software information and including revisions and upgrades; and, means operable after such preparing of the new software information, for swapping the same for the original software information in said one node during the continuing of the data routing along said path without interruption, and imperceptibly to all the other router nodes in the routing system

7 The system of claim 6 wherein the original software package contains a border gateway protocol (BGP) for controlling data packet routing from said one node along the

network, and said means for preparing said new software includes means for registering and binding original software BGP information in a new software package during continued data packet routing through said one node under the original software BGP

8. The system of claim 7 wherein said binding means also binds the revisions and upgrades into said new software package.

9 The system of claim 8 wherein, means is provided for enabling the new software package to signal when it is prepared to take over the software control of said one node, and means for thereupon pre-emptively swapping with the original software package in said node without interruption.

10 The system of claim 9 wherein, prior to the swap, means is provided for enabling the original software package to check and prepare for its disconnection at the time the new software package activates its swap connection.

11. The system of claim 10 wherein an IP handler for all IP is provided, interconnected with the original software package and the revised software package BGPs for controlling each of the registering, binding and pre-emptive swapping means.

12 To the system of claim 11 wherein a software backplane architecture is provided for enabling the operation of the swapping means comprising three stacks: a control and

data methods interface, active router module interfaces, and backplane services with which the modules interact and reply upon.

13. The system of claim 12 wherein the backplane services stack contains interacting command line interpreter (CLI), simple network management protocol (SNMP), and hypertext transfer protocol (HTTP) units

14 The system of claim 13 wherein the active router module interface stack comprises, in addition to the module, a persistent and shared data interface receiving data from the module and from the data methods interface.

15. The system of claim 14 wherein the module is further connected to a management information base (MBI) serving as the control interface to the module, with the module informing the management information base of the ways in which it can be configured; and said backplane services stack further containing a configuration manager for such configuring.

16. The system of claim 15 wherein said management information base is connected to receive requests from said simple network management protocol unit for the module

17. The system of claim 16 wherein the backplane services stack is also provided with a dynamic linker that is connected with the module to permit linking and then replacement with a link to a new version.

00000000

00000000


00000000

00000000

particular software version is running at a given time while monitoring the original and new software swapping.


Abstract

In networks of interconnected router nodes for forwarding data traffic along a predetermined path of the network, a method of and system for imperceptibly upgrading router node software and the like without traffic interruption through a novel preparation of upgraded software in a router while that router continues to forward data under the control of its original software, and then swapping the upgraded software for the original software without disruption



(A)
PRIOR ART

The Traditional Approach Interrupts Service for Upgrades. If no alternative path exists, a portion of the network will be disconnected during the update.



(B)

The Approach Described Allows Upgrades to be done without interruption. This applies even if there is no alternate path available.

FIGURE 1




FIGURE 4




FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3




FIGURE 5




FIGURE 6




FIGURE 7

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe that I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

IN NETWORKS OF INTERCONNECTED ROUTER NODES FOR ROUTING DATA TRAFFIC. A
METHOD OF AND SYSTEM FOR IMPERCEPTABLY UPGRADING ROUTER NODE SOFTWARE
AND THE LIKE WITHOUT TRAFFIC INTERRUPTION

X the specification of which is attached hereto.
was filed on _____, as United States Application Serial No. _____
or PCT International Application No. _____
and was amended _____.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, C.F.R. §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, U.S.C. §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Serial No.	Country	Filing Date	Priority Claimed	
			Yes	No
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, U.S.C. §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, U.S.C. §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, C.F.R. §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

And I hereby appoint Robert H. Rines, Reg. No. 15,932, and Robert Shaw, Reg. No. 20,440, of the firm of Rines and Rines, Reg. No. 16,118, 81 North State Street, Concord, New Hampshire 03301, my attorneys, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under §1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

- (1) Full name of sole or first inventor: Kenneth J. Schroder
Date: May 17, 1999 Signature: *Kenneth J. Schroder*
Citizenship: USA Residence: 115 Bishops Forest Drive, Waltham, MA
Post Office Address: 115 Forest Drive, Waltham, MA 02452-8800
- (2) Full name of second joint inventor, if any: Glenn McGuire
Date: May 17, 1999 Signature: *Glenn McGuire*
Citizenship: USA Residence: 30 Royal Crest Drive, Marlborough, MA
Post Office Address: 30 Royal Crest Drive, Marlborough, MA 01752
- (3) Full name of third joint inventor, if any: Zbigniew Opalka
Date: May 17, 1999 Signature: *Zbigniew Opalka*
Citizenship: USA Residence: 25 Quarry Lane, Harvard, MA 01451
Post Office Address: 25 Quarry Lane, Harvard, MA 01451